

## Online Exam — Analysis (WBMA012-05)

Tuesday 26 January 2021, 8.30h–11.30h CET (plus 30 minutes for uploading)

University of Groningen

---

### Instructions

1. All answers need to be accompanied with an explanation or a calculation: only answering “yes”, “no”, or “42” is not sufficient.
2. If  $p$  is the number of marks then the exam grade is  $G = 1 + p/10$ .
3. Write both your name and student number on the answer sheets!
4. This exam comes in two versions. Both versions consist of six problems of equal difficulty.

**Make version 1 if your student number is odd.**

**Make version 2 if your student number is even.**

For example, if your student number is 1277456, which is even, then you have to make version 2.

5. Save your work as a single PDF file and submit it via this dedicated Nestor page.
-

## Version 1: odd student numbers only!

### Problem 1 (5 + 5 + 5 = 15 points)

- (a) Assume that  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$  is nonempty and bounded above. Assume that  $A$  is nonempty and  $A \subset B$ . Show that  $A$  is bounded above and  $\sup A \leq \sup B$ .
- (b) Assume that the sets  $U, V \subset \mathbb{R}$  are nonempty and bounded above. In addition, assume that  $U \cap V$  is nonempty. Use part (a) to show that

$$\sup(U \cap V) \leq \min\{\sup U, \sup V\}.$$

- (c) Give an example of sets  $U$  and  $V$  for which the inequality in part (b) is strict.

### Problem 2 (5 + 5 + 5 = 15 points)

Give an example of each of the following, or argue that such a request is impossible:

- (a) A sequence  $(x_n)$  such that  $\lim x_n = 0$  and  $x_n = 1$  for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (b) A divergent sequence  $(x_n)$  for which every subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  converges.
- (c) A sequence  $(x_n)$  such that  $0 \leq x_n \leq 1/n^2$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n x_n$  diverges.

### Problem 3 (5 + 5 + 5 = 15 points)

Consider a set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$  that satisfies the following properties:

- (i)  $K$  is nonempty and compact;
- (ii) for all  $x \in K$  there exists  $\epsilon_x > 0$  such that  $K \cap V_{\epsilon_x}(x) = \{x\}$ .

Prove the following statements:

- (a) The set  $A = \{0, 1\}$  satisfies both properties (i) and (ii).
- (b) The set  $B = [0, 1]$  satisfies property (i), but *not* property (ii).
- (c) Any set  $K$  that satisfies both properties (i) and (ii) is finite.

### Problem 4 (10 + 5 = 15 points)

Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable and assume that the derivative is bounded, i.e., there exists  $M \geq 0$  such that  $|f'(x)| \leq M$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, assume that  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- (a) Prove that the function  $h$  given by  $h(x) = f(g(x))$  is uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- (b) Is the function  $h$  still uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$  when  $f$  does *not* have a bounded derivative? If so, give a proof; otherwise, give a counterexample.

**Problem 5 (3 + 6 + 6 = 15 points)**

Let  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function and consider the sequence  $(f_n)$  given by

$$f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f_n(x) = x^n g(x).$$

Prove the following statements:

(a) The sequence  $(f_n)$  converges pointwise to  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  where

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq x < 1, \\ g(1) & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

(b) The convergence  $f_n \rightarrow f$  is uniform on  $[0, b]$  for all  $0 < b < 1$ .

(c) If the convergence  $f_n \rightarrow f$  is uniform on  $[0, 1]$ , then  $g(1) = 0$ .

**Problem 6 (3 + 12 = 15 points)**

(a) Argue that the function  $f(x) = 1/x$  is integrable on  $[1, 2]$ .

(b) Use the partition  $P = \{(k+n)/n : k = 0, \dots, n\}$  to prove the following inequality:

$$\ln(2) \leq \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n+1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2n-1} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**End of version 1 (90 points)**

## Version 2: even student numbers only!

### Problem 1 (5 + 5 + 5 = 15 points)

- (a) Assume that  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$  is nonempty and bounded below. Assume that  $A$  is nonempty and  $A \subset B$ . Show that  $A$  is bounded below and  $\inf A \geq \inf B$ .
- (b) Assume that the sets  $U, V \subset \mathbb{R}$  are nonempty and bounded below. In addition, assume that  $U \cap V$  is nonempty. Use part (a) to show that

$$\inf(U \cap V) \geq \max\{\inf U, \inf V\}.$$

- (c) Give an example of sets  $U$  and  $V$  for which the inequality in part (b) is strict.

### Problem 2 (5 + 5 + 5 = 15 points)

Give an example of each of the following, or argue that such a request is impossible:

- (a) A sequence  $(x_n)$  such that  $\lim x_n = 1$  and  $x_n = 0$  for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (b) A bounded sequence  $(x_n)$  for which every subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  diverges.
- (c) A sequence  $(x_n)$  such that  $0 \leq x_n \leq 1/n^2$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n x_n$  diverges.

### Problem 3 (5 + 5 + 5 = 15 points)

Consider a set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$  that satisfies the following properties:

- (i)  $K$  is nonempty and compact;
- (ii) for all  $x \in K$  there exists  $\epsilon_x > 0$  such that  $K \cap V_{\epsilon_x}(x) = \{x\}$ .

Prove the following statements:

- (a) The set  $A = \{0, 1\}$  satisfies both properties (i) and (ii).
- (b) The set  $B = [0, 1]$  satisfies property (i), but *not* property (ii).
- (c) Any set  $K$  that satisfies both properties (i) and (ii) is finite.

### Problem 4 (10 + 5 = 15 points)

Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable and assume that the derivative is bounded, i.e., there exists  $M \geq 0$  such that  $|f'(x)| \leq M$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, assume that  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- (a) Prove that the function  $h$  given by  $h(x) = f(g(x))$  is uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- (b) Is the function  $h$  still uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$  when  $g$  is *not* uniformly continuous? If so, give a proof; otherwise, give a counterexample.

**Problem 5 (3 + 6 + 6 = 15 points)**

Let  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function and consider the sequence  $(f_n)$  given by

$$f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f_n(x) = (1 - x)^n g(x).$$

Prove the following statements:

(a) The sequence  $(f_n)$  converges pointwise to  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  where

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} g(0) & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 < x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

(b) The convergence  $f_n \rightarrow f$  is uniform on  $[a, 1]$  for all  $0 < a < 1$ .

(c) If the convergence  $f_n \rightarrow f$  is uniform on  $[0, 1]$ , then  $g(0) = 0$ .

**Problem 6 (3 + 12 = 15 points)**

(a) Argue that the function  $f(x) = 1/(1 + x)$  is integrable on  $[0, 1]$ .

(b) Use the partition  $P = \{k/n : k = 0, \dots, n\}$  to prove the following inequality:

$$\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2n} \leq \ln(2) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**End of version 2 (90 points)**

**Solution of problem 1, version 1 (5 + 5 + 5 = 15 points)**

- (a) If  $a \in A$ , then  $a \in B$ . Since  $\sup B$  is an upper bound for  $B$  we have that  $a \leq \sup B$ . Since  $a \in A$  is arbitrary, it follows that  $\sup B$  is also an upper bound for  $A$ .  
**(3 points)**

By definition of least upper bound it then follows that  $\sup A \leq \sup B$ .  
**(2 points)**

- (b) We have that  $U \cap V \subset U$ . By part (a) it follows that  $\sup(U \cap V) \leq \sup U$ . By a similar argument it follows that  $\sup(U \cap V) \leq \sup V$ .  
**(3 points)**

Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\sup U \leq \sup V$  (otherwise, just interchange the roles of  $U$  and  $V$ ). Therefore, we obtain

$$\sup(U \cap V) \leq \sup U = \min\{\sup U, \sup V\}.$$

**(2 points)**

- (c) For  $U = \{0, 1\}$  and  $V = \{0, 2\}$  we have

$$\sup U = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup V = 2,$$

which gives  $\min\{\sup U, \sup V\} = 1$ . Since  $U \cap V = \{0\}$  we have  $\sup(U \cap V) = 0$ .  
**(5 points)**

**Solution of problem 1, version 2 (5 + 5 + 5 = 15 points)**

- (a) If  $a \in A$ , then  $a \in B$ . Since  $\inf B$  is a lower bound for  $B$  we have that  $a \geq \inf B$ . Since  $a \in A$  is arbitrary, it follows that  $\inf B$  is also a lower bound for  $A$ .  
**(3 points)**

By definition of greatest lower bound it then follows that  $\inf A \geq \inf B$ .  
**(2 points)**

- (b) We have that  $U \cap V \subset U$ . By part (a) it follows that  $\inf(U \cap V) \geq \inf U$ . By a similar argument it follows that  $\inf(U \cap V) \geq \inf V$ .  
**(3 points)**

Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\inf U \leq \inf V$  (otherwise, just interchange the roles of  $U$  and  $V$ ). Therefore, we obtain

$$\inf(U \cap V) \geq \inf V = \max\{\inf U, \inf V\}.$$

**(2 points)**

- (c) For  $U = \{-1, 0\}$  and  $V = \{-2, 0\}$  we have

$$\inf U = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad \inf V = -2,$$

which gives  $\max\{\inf U, \inf V\} = -1$ . Since  $U \cap V = \{0\}$  we have  $\inf(U \cap V) = 0$ .

**(5 points)**

**Solution of problem 2, version 1 (5 + 5 + 5 = 15 points)**

- (a) This request is impossible. Indeed, let  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_n \in V_\epsilon(0) = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  for all  $n \geq N$ . So there can at most be finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $x_n = 1$ . (Note: this argument works with any  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ .)

**(5 points)**

*Alternative argument.* If  $x_n = 1$  for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $(x_n)$  has a subsequence converging to a different limit than the sequence itself. This contradicts the theorem that states that all subsequences of a convergent sequence are convergent and must have the same limit as the sequence itself.

- (b) This request is impossible. Indeed, one possible subsequence is obtained by simply taking the sequence itself (by choosing  $n_k = k$ ).

**(5 points)**

- (c) This request is impossible. Indeed, we have that  $|(-1)^n x_n| \leq 1/n^2$ . Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n^2$  is a convergent series, the comparison test implies that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(-1)^n x_n|$  converges and hence the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n x_n$  itself converges.

**(5 points)**

**Solution of problem 2, version 2 (5 + 5 + 5 = 15 points)**

- (a) This request is impossible. Indeed, let  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_n \in V_\epsilon(0) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$  for all  $n \geq N$ . So there can at most be finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $x_n = 0$ . (Note: this argument works with any  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ .)

**(5 points)**

- (b) This request is impossible. Indeed, the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem guarantees the existence of at least one convergent subsequence.

**(5 points)**

- (c) This request is impossible. Indeed, we have that  $|(-1)^n x_n| \leq 1/n^2$ . Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n^2$  is a convergent series, the comparison test implies that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(-1)^n x_n|$  converges and hence the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n x_n$  itself converges.

**(5 points)**

*Remark.* In part (c) one cannot use the Alternating Series Test because that theorem would require that  $(x_n)$  is decreasing:  $0 \leq x_{n+1} \leq x_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This is *not* implied by the given inequality  $0 \leq x_n \leq 1/n^2$ . Indeed, the sequence  $(1, 0, \frac{1}{9}, 0, \frac{1}{25}, 0, \frac{1}{49}, 0, \dots)$  satisfies the given inequality but is not decreasing.



**Solution of problem 3, version 1 and 2 (5 + 5 + 5 = 15 points)**

- (a) The set  $A$  is clearly nonempty as it contains two elements.

**(1 point)**

In addition, the set is compact, since in the lectures it has been shown that finite sets are compact. Hence, the set  $A$  satisfies property (i).

**(1 point)**

For  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$  (in fact any  $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$  works) we have

$$V_\epsilon(0) \cap A = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cap \{0, 1\} = \{0\}.$$

For the point  $a = 1$  the reasoning is similar. This shows that the set  $A$  satisfies property (ii).

**(3 points)**

- (b) The set  $B$  is clearly nonempty as  $0 \in B$ .

**(1 point)**

In addition, the set is compact, since in the lectures it has been shown that closed and bounded intervals are compact. Hence, the set  $B$  satisfies property (i).

**(1 point)**

*Method 1.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. We have

$$V_\epsilon(0) \cap B = (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \cap [0, 1] = \begin{cases} [0, 1] & \text{if } \epsilon \geq 1 \\ [0, \epsilon) & \text{if } \epsilon < 1 \end{cases}.$$

This implies that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  we have  $V_\epsilon(0) \cap B \neq \{0\}$ , which shows that the set  $B$  does not satisfy property (ii).

**(3 points)**

*Method 2.* Note that  $x = 0$  is a limit point of  $A$ . Indeed, the sequence  $x_n = 1/n$  lies in  $B$  and satisfies  $x_n \neq 0$  for all  $n$  while  $\lim x_n = 0$ . By definition of a limit point we then have that for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $y \in B$  such that  $y \neq 0$  and  $y \in B \cap V_\epsilon(0)$ . Therefore, the set  $B$  does not satisfy property (ii).

**(3 points)**

- (c) The sets  $V_{\epsilon_x}(x)$ , where  $x \in K$ , form an open cover for  $K$ . Since  $K$  is assumed to be compact, it follows that there exist finitely many points  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in K$  such that

$$K \subset V_{\epsilon_{x_1}}(x_1) \cup \dots \cup V_{\epsilon_{x_n}}(x_n).$$

**(3 points)**

Since  $K \cap V_{\epsilon_{x_i}}(x_i) = \{x_i\}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  we have that  $K \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , which shows that  $K$  is a finite set.

**(2 points)**

*Alternative argument.* If  $K$  is infinite, then the boundedness of  $K$  implies the existence of a limit point; this can be shown using a bisection argument as in the proof of the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem. The closedness of  $K$  would then imply that this limit point is contained in  $K$ . This is a direct contradiction with property (ii), which states that no point of  $K$  is a limit point.

**Solution of problem 4, version 1 and 2 (10 + 5 = 15 points)**

- (a) If  $g(x) \neq g(y)$ , then we may assume without loss of generality that  $g(x) < g(y)$ . By the Mean Value Theorem there exists  $c \in (g(x), g(y))$  such that

$$f(g(x)) - f(g(y)) = f'(c)(g(x) - g(y)).$$

**(3 points)**

Taking absolute values and the boundedness assumption on  $f'$  gives

$$|f(g(x)) - f(g(y))| = |f'(c)| |g(x) - g(y)| \leq M |g(x) - g(y)|.$$

**(1 point)**

If  $g(x) = g(y)$ , then the above inequality trivially holds.

**(1 point)**

Since  $g$  is assumed to be uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$  it follows that for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|x - y| < \delta \quad \Rightarrow \quad |g(x) - g(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{M}.$$

**(2 points)**

Therefore, if  $|x - y| < \delta$ , then

$$|h(x) - h(y)| = |f(g(x)) - f(g(y))| \leq M |g(x) - g(y)| < M \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{M} = \epsilon.$$

This shows that  $h$  is also uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**(3 points)**

- (b) *Version 1.* No, without the assumption that the derivative of  $f$  is bounded, the function  $h$  need not be uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ . A counter example is given by  $f(x) = x^2$  and  $g(x) = x$ . Clearly,  $g$  is uniformly continuous, but  $f$  does not have a bounded derivative. The function  $h(x) = f(g(x)) = x^2$  is not uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$  as has been shown in the lectures.

**(5 points)**

*Version 2.* No, without the assumption that  $g$  is uniformly continuous, the function  $h$  need not be uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ . A counter example is given by  $f(x) = x$  and  $g(x) = x^2$ . It has been shown in the lectures that  $g$  is not uniformly continuous, but  $f$  does have a bounded derivative. The function  $h(x) = f(g(x)) = x^2$  is not uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**(5 points)**

*Remark.* In part (b) a specific example of  $f$  and  $g$  must be given for which  $h$  is not uniformly continuous. It is not possible to state in general that  $h$  will not be uniformly continuous because we can find examples for which  $h$  in fact is uniformly continuous. For version 1 we could take:  $f(x) = x^2$  and  $g(x) = 0$  for which  $h(x) = 0$  is clearly uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ . For version 2 we could take  $f(x) = 0$  and  $g(x) = x^2$ .

**Solution of problem 5, version 1 (3 + 6 + 6 = 15 points)**

- (a) If  $0 \leq x < 1$ , then  $\lim f_n(x) = \lim x^n g(x) = g(x) \lim x^n = g(x) \cdot 0 = 0$ .  
**(2 points)**

If  $x = 1$ , then  $f_n(x) = g(1)$  for all  $n$  so that  $\lim f_n(x) = g(1)$ .  
**(1 point)**

- (b) Let  $0 < b < 1$  be arbitrary. The function  $g$  is continuous on the compact set  $[0, b]$  and hence attains a maximum and a minimum. In particular, this implies that  $g$  is bounded which means that there exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that  $|g(x)| \leq M$  for all  $x \in [0, b]$ .  
**(2 points)**

Therefore, using that  $f(x) = 0$  on  $[0, b]$ , we obtain that

$$\sup_{x \in [0, b]} |f_n(x) - f(x)| = \sup_{x \in [0, b]} |f_n(x)| = \sup_{x \in [0, b]} x^n |g(x)| \leq M \sup_{x \in [0, b]} x^n = Mb^n.$$

**(2 points)**

This implies that

$$\lim \left( \sup_{x \in [0, b]} |f_n(x) - f(x)| \right) = 0,$$

which means that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $[0, b]$ .  
**(2 points)**

- (c) Note that each function  $f_n$  is continuous since it is a product of continuous functions. If  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $[0, 1]$ , then  $f$  is continuous as well.  
**(3 points)**

If  $g(1) = 0$ , then  $f$  is identically zero and hence continuous. On the other hand, if  $g(1) \neq 0$ , then  $f$  is not continuous. Indeed, for  $x_n = 1 - 1/n$ , we have  $\lim f(x_n) = 0$ , whereas  $f(1) = g(1) \neq 0$ .

**(3 points)**

Therefore, we conclude that if  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $[0, 1]$ , then  $g(1) = 0$ .

*Remark.* For version 2 the arguments are completely analogous to those given above for version 1.

**Solution of problem 6, version 1 (3 + 12 = 15 points)**

- (a) *Method 1.* The function is decreasing and in the lectures it has been shown that decreasing functions are integrable.

**(3 points)**

*Method 2.* The function is continuous and in the lectures it has been shown that continuous functions are integrable.

**(3 points)**

- (b) Since for  $F(x) = \ln(x)$  we have  $F'(x) = 1/x$ , it follows by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus that

$$\int_1^2 \frac{1}{x} dx = \ln(2) - \ln(1) = \ln(2).$$

**(3 points)**

Since  $f$  is decreasing it follows that

$$M_k := \sup\{f(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\} = f(x_{k-1}).$$

**(3 points)**

For the partition  $P = \{(k+n)/n : k = 0, \dots, n\}$  we thus get the following upper sum

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P) &= \sum_{k=1}^n M_k(x_k - x_{k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_{k-1})(x_k - x_{k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{n}{k-1+n} \left( \frac{k+n}{n} - \frac{k-1+n}{n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k-1+n} = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n+1} + \dots + \frac{1}{2n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

**(5 points)**

Finally, since  $\int_1^2 f \leq U(f, P)$  for any partition  $P$  we obtain the desired inequality.

**(1 point)**

**Solution of problem 6, version 2 (3 + 12 = 15 points)**

- (a) *Method 1.* The function is decreasing and in the lectures it has been shown that decreasing functions are integrable.

**(3 points)**

*Method 2.* The function is continuous and in the lectures it has been shown that continuous functions are integrable.

**(3 points)**

- (b) Since for  $F(x) = \ln(1+x)$  we have  $F'(x) = 1/(1+x)$ , it follows by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{1+x} dx = \ln(2) - \ln(1) = \ln(2).$$

**(3 points)**

Since  $f$  is decreasing it follows that

$$m_k := \inf\{f(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\} = f(x_k).$$

**(3 points)**

For the partition  $P = \{k/n : k = 0, \dots, n\}$  we thus get the following lower sum

$$\begin{aligned} L(f, P) &= \sum_{k=1}^n m_k(x_k - x_{k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k)(x_k - x_{k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{1+k/n} \left( \frac{k}{n} - \frac{k-1}{n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n+k} = \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

**(5 points)**

Finally, since  $L(f, P) \leq \int_0^1 f$  for any partition  $P$  we obtain the desired inequality.

**(1 point)**